


DISTRICT COURT, CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, COLORADO 1437 Bannock Street Denver, CO 80202	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>APPROVED BY COURT</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>03/24/2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">DATE FILED: March 24, 2021 10:57 AM          CASE NUMBER: 2021CV30953</p> 
STATE OF COLORADO, <i>ex rel</i> / PHILIP J. WEISER, ATTORNEY GENERAL, Plaintiff  v.  BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, Defendant	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ANDREW PATRICK MCCALLIN</b>          District Court Judge</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲</b></p>
	Case No.
<b>FINAL CONSENT JUDGMENT</b>	

Plaintiff, the State of Colorado] (“The State” or “Plaintiff”) has filed a Complaint for a permanent injunction and other relief in this matter pursuant to the Colorado Consumer Protection Act (“CCPA”), Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-101 *et seq.*, alleging that Defendant Boston Scientific Corporation (“BSC” or “Defendant”) committed violations of the CCPA. Plaintiff, by its counsel, and Defendant, by its counsel, have agreed to the entry of this Final Consent Judgment (“Consent Judgment”) by the Court without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law and without finding or admission of wrongdoing or liability of any kind.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

**I. FINDINGS**

1.1 This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this lawsuit and over all Parties.

1.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of Colorado.

1.3 The State of Colorado contends that entry of this Consent Judgment is in the public interest. The Consent Judgment reflects a negotiated agreement among the Parties.

1.4 BSC is willing to enter into this Consent Judgment regarding the Covered Conduct to resolve the Attorney General's concerns under the CCPA as to the matters addressed in this Consent Judgment and thereby avoid significant expense, inconvenience, and uncertainty.

1.5 The Parties have agreed to resolve the issues raised by the Covered Conduct by entering into this Consent Judgment.<sup>1</sup>

1.6 BSC is entering into this Consent Judgment solely for the purpose of settlement, and nothing contained herein may be taken as or construed to be an admission or concession of any violation of law, rule, or regulation, or of any other matter of fact or law, or of any liability or wrongdoing, all of which BSC expressly denies. BSC does not admit any violation of the State Consumer Protection Laws set forth in Footnote 4; and does not admit any wrongdoing that was or could have been alleged by any Attorney General before the date of the Consent Judgment under those laws. No part of this Consent Judgment, including its statements and commitments, shall constitute evidence of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing by BSC. This document and its contents are not intended for use by any third party for any purpose, including

---

<sup>1</sup> This agreement is entered into pursuant to and subject to the State Consumer Protection laws cited in Footnote 4.

submission to any court for any purpose. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed or used as a waiver or limitation of any defense otherwise available to BSC in any other action, or of BSC's right to defend itself from, or make any arguments in, any private individual or class action claims or suits, or any other governmental or regulatory investigations or suits, relating to the subject matter or terms of this Consent Judgment. This Consent Judgment is made without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law or finding of liability of any kind. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a State may file an action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

1.7 It is the intent of the Parties that this Consent Judgment not be admissible in other cases or binding on BSC in any respect other than in connection with the enforcement of this Consent Judgment.

1.8 No part of this Consent Judgment shall create a private cause of action or confer any right to any third party for violation of any federal or state statute, except that a State may file an action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

1.9 This Consent Judgment (or any portion thereof) shall in no way be construed to prohibit BSC from making representations with respect to any of BSC's products in Labeling that are required under Federal law, regulations, and policies or guidance having the force of law.

1.10 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall require BSC to:

- (a) take any action that is prohibited by the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. § 301 *et seq.* ("FDCA") or any regulation promulgated thereunder or by the FDA; or
- (b) fail to take any action that is required by the FDA, or by the FDCA or any regulation promulgated thereunder.

## II. DEFINITIONS

The following definitions shall be used in construing the Consent Judgment:

2.1 “Covered Conduct” means BSC’s marketing and promotional practices and dissemination of information to Health Care Providers (HCPs) or consumers regarding BSC Surgical Mesh products through the Effective Date of the Consent Judgment.

2.2 “Effective Date” means the date on which a copy of the Consent Judgment, duly executed by BSC and by the Signatory Attorney General, is approved by, and becomes a Consent Judgment of the Court.

2.3 “Health Care Provider” or “HCP” means any physician who in the course of his or her practice may prescribe or implant BSC Surgical Mesh.

2.4 “BSC” or “Defendant” means Boston Scientific Corporation, and all of its officers, directors, employees, representatives, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, operating companies, assigns, and successors.

2.5 “Labeling” as used in this Consent Judgment shall carry the same definition as that contained in the Federal FDCA, specifically “all labels and other written, printed, or graphic matter (1) upon any article or any of its containers or wrappers, or (2) accompanying such article” and as interpreted by the courts and through FDA policy and guidance to encompass, among other things, “posters, tags, pamphlets, circulars, booklets, brochures, instruction books, [and] direction sheets.”

2.6 “Marketing Materials” means any written or electronic material, or written or verbal statements either publicly disseminated or made by or on behalf of BSC for the purpose of public dissemination to induce a sale or purchase in the United States in the course of marketing, promoting, or informing Health Care Providers, nurses, physician’s assistants, other medical professionals, and consumers about BSC Surgical Mesh, including: Directions for Use (DFUs), pamphlets, brochures, Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs), sales representative training materials containing material or statements intended to be publicly disseminated, HCP training materials, communications with HCPs, presentations (including poster presentations and abstract presentations), seminars, videos, advertisements in any form of media, and websites hosted or controlled by BSC.

2.7 “Multistate Executive Committee” means the Attorneys General and their staffs representing California, Florida, Indiana, Maryland, Ohio, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington.

2.8 “Multistate Working Group” means the Attorneys General and their staffs representing Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii<sup>2</sup>, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah<sup>3</sup>, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin.

2.9 “Parties” means BSC as defined in Section 2.4 and the Signatory Attorney General.

2.10 “Significant Complications” means complications of BSC Surgical Mesh, including complications discovered subsequent to the Effective Date, which:

- a. are required to be included in product labeling or advertisements pursuant to FDA regulations;
- b. can result in a “serious injury” as defined by 21 CFR § 803.3; or
- c. include the following complications, which may be ongoing:
  - i. Pain (pelvic, vaginal, groin/thigh, dyspareunia) (acute or chronic);
  - ii. Foreign body reaction (acute or chronic);
  - iii. Erosion into organs; exposure/extrusion into vagina;

---

<sup>2</sup> Hawaii is being represented in this matter by its Office of Consumer Protection, an agency which is not part of the state Attorney General’s Office, but which is statutorily authorized to undertake consumer protection functions, including legal representation of the State of Hawaii. For simplicity, the entire group will be referred to as the “Attorneys General,” and such designation, as it includes Hawaii, refers to the Executive Director of the State of Hawaii Office of Consumer Protection.

<sup>3</sup> With regard to Utah, the Utah Division of Consumer Protection is charged with administering and enforcing the Consumer Sales Practices Act, the statute relevant to this Consent Judgment. References to the “States,” “Parties,” or “Attorneys General,” with respect to Utah, refers to the Utah Division of Consumer Protection.

- iv. Dyspareunia;
- v. Scarring/scar contracture
- vi. Mesh contracture;
- vii. Tissue contracture;
- viii. Fistula formation (acute or chronic);
- ix. Inflammation (acute or chronic);
- x. Vaginal shortening or stenosis, which may result in dyspareunia and/or sexual dysfunction;
- xi. Pain with intercourse that may not resolve;
- xii. Exposed mesh may cause pain or discomfort to the patient's partner during intercourse;
- xiii. Infection;
- xiv. Sexual dysfunction; including the inability to have intercourse;
- xv. De novo detrusor instability;
- xvi. Voiding dysfunction (incontinence, temporary or permanent lower urinary tract obstruction, difficulty urinating, pain with urination, overactive bladder);
- xvii. Bruising, bleeding (vaginal, hematoma formation);
- xviii. Abscess;
- xix. Dehiscence of vaginal incision;
- xx. Perforation or laceration of vessels, nerves, bladder, urethra, or bowel may occur during placement; and
- xxi. Failure to resolve a patient's stress urinary incontinence

In addition, because BSC Surgical Mesh is a permanent implant, Significant Complications must acknowledge that:

- i. The occurrence of one or more of these complications may require treatment or surgical intervention. In some instances, the

complication may persist as a permanent condition after the surgical intervention or other treatment;

- ii. Removal of mesh or correction of mesh-related complications may involve multiple surgeries;
- iii. Complete removal of mesh may not be possible and additional surgeries may not always fully correct the complications.

2.11 “Inherent Mesh Complications” shall include significant complications associated with the use of the mesh material that may not be eliminated with surgical technique (as opposed to non-mesh surgery).

2.12 “Signatory Attorney General” means the Attorney General of Colorado, or his/her authorized designee, who has agreed to this Consent Judgment.

2.13 “Sponsor” is the organization or person who initiates a study and who has authority and control over a study relating to BSC Surgical Mesh.

2.14 “Support” shall mean financial or product support, or as otherwise defined as support in a contractual agreement with an HCP or consultant relating to BSC Surgical Mesh.

2.15 “State Consumer Protection Laws” means the consumer protection laws cited in Footnote 4 under which the Attorneys General have conducted the investigation.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> ALABAMA – Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act § 8-19-1 et seq. (2002); ALASKA – Alaska Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act AS 45.50.471 – 45.50.561; ARIZONA - Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §44-1521 et seq.; ARKANSAS – Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 4-88-101, et seq.; CALIFORNIA – Bus. & Prof Code §§ 17200 et seq. and 17500 et seq.; COLORADO – Colorado Consumer Protection Act, Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-101 et seq.; CONNECTICUT – Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen Stat. §§ 42-110a through 42-110q; DELAWARE – Delaware Consumer Fraud Act, Del. CODE ANN. tit. 6, §§ 2511 to 2527; DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, District of Columbia Consumer Protection Procedures Act, D.C. Code §§ 28-3901 et seq.; FLORIDA – Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Part II, Chapter 501, Florida Statutes, 501.201 et. seq.; GEORGIA - Fair Business Practices Act, O.C.G.A. Sections 10-1-390 et seq.; HAWAII – Uniform Deceptive Trade Practice Act, Haw. Rev. Stat. Chpt. 481A and Haw. Rev. Stat. Chpt. 480; IDAHO – Idaho Consumer Protection Act, Idaho Code § 48-601 et seq.; ILLINOIS – Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505/2 et seq.; INDIANA – Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code §§ 24-5-0.5-0.1 to 24-5-0.5-12; IOWA - Iowa Consumer Fraud Act, Iowa Code Section 714.16; KANSAS - Kansas Consumer Protection Act, K.S.A. 50-623 et seq.; KENTUCKY – Kentucky Consumer Protection Act, KRS Ch. 367.110, et seq.; LOUISIANA – Unfair Trade-Practices and Consumer

2.16 “BSC Surgical Mesh” means any medical device (as the term “device” is defined in 21 U.S.C. § 321(h)) that contains synthetic polypropylene mesh intended to be implanted in the pelvic floor to treat stress urinary incontinence (SUI) and/or pelvic organ prolapse (POP) manufactured and sold by BSC in the United States.

2.17 “Valid Scientific Evidence” means evidence from well-controlled investigations, partially controlled studies, studies and objective trials without matched controls, well-documented case histories conducted by qualified experts, and reports of significant human experience with a marketed device, from which it can fairly and responsibly be concluded by qualified experts that there is reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of a device under its conditions of use.

---

Protection Law, LSA-R.S. 51:1401, et seq.; MAINE – Unfair Trade Practices Act, 5 M.R.S.A. § 207 et seq.; MARYLAND - Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law §§ 13-101 et seq.; MASSACHUSETTS – Mass. Gen. Laws c. 93A, §§ 2 and 4; MICHIGAN – Michigan Consumer Protection Act, MCL § 445.901 et seq.; MINNESOTA – Minn. Stat. §§325D.44, 325F.69; MISSISSIPPI - Mississippi Consumer Protection Act, Miss. Code Ann. § 75-24-1, et seq.; MISSOURI – Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 407.010 et seq.; MONTANA – Montana Consumer Protection Act §§ 30-14-101 et seq.; NEBRASKA – Consumer Protection Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ 59-1601 et seq. and Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ 87-301 et seq.; NEVADA – Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Nevada Revised Statutes 598.0903 et seq.; NEW HAMPSHIRE – NH RSA §358-A et seq; NEW JERSEY – New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, NJSA 56:8-1 et seq.; NEW MEXICO – NMSA 1978, § 57-12-1 et seq.; NEW YORK – General Business Law Art. 22-A, §§ 349-50, and Executive Law § 63(12); NORTH CAROLINA – North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act, N.C.G.S. 75-1.1, et seq.; NORTH DAKOTA – Unlawful Sales or Advertising Practices, N.D. Cent. Code § 51-15-02 et seq.; OHIO – Ohio Consumer Sales Practices Act, R.C. 1345.01, et seq.; OKLAHOMA – Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act 15 O.S. §§ 751 et seq.; OREGON – Oregon Unlawful Trade Practices Act, Or. Rev. Stat. § 646.605 et seq.; PENNSYLVANIA – Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 P.S. 201-1 et seq.; RHODE ISLAND – Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Rhode Island Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1, et seq.; SOUTH CAROLINA – South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act, S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-10 et seq.; SOUTH DAKOTA – South Dakota Deceptive Trade Practices and Consumer Protection, SDCL ch. 37-24; TENNESSEE – Tennessee Consumer Protection Act, Tenn. Code Ann. 47-18-101 et seq.; TEXAS – Texas Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act, Tex. Bus. And Com. Code 17.41, et seq.; UTAH - Consumer Sales Practices Act, Utah Code Ann. §§ 13-11-1 et seq.; VERMONT – Vermont Consumer Protection Act, 9 V.S.A. § 2451, et seq.; VIRGINIA-Virginia Consumer Protection Act, Va Code Ann. §59.1-196 et seq.; WASHINGTON – Unfair Business Practices/Consumer Protection Act, RCW §§ 19.86 et seq.; and WISCONSIN – Wis. Stat. § 100.18 (Fraudulent Representations).



2.18 Any reference to a “written document” means a physical paper copy of the document, electronic version of the document, or electronic access to such document.

### **III. COMPLIANCE PROVISIONS**

#### **A. General Provisions**

3.1 Sections 3.3 through 3.26 shall be effective for six years following the effective date of this Consent Judgment. Section 3.2 is not time restricted.

3.2 BSC shall not violate Colo. Rev. Stat. § 6-1-101 in Marketing Materials or when promoting BSC Surgical Mesh.

#### **B. Marketing and Promotional Activities**

3.3 BSC shall include all Significant Complications and all Inherent Mesh Complications in its Marketing Materials either by including a list of such complications or a reference to the applicable DFU if the inclusion of a list is not reasonably practicable given the length of the Marketing Material and the media used, such as in reminder ads. Provided however that in all instances where the Marketing Material purports to address the subject of complications, BSC shall include all Significant Complications and all Inherent Mesh Complications. Additionally, in all Marketing Materials that are intended to reach consumers other than or in addition to HCPs and that address the subject of complications, BSC shall include descriptions of the Significant Complications and Inherent Mesh Complications in terms reasonably understandable to a consumer.

3.4 BSC shall not, in any Marketing Materials, state or in any way represent that any Inherent Mesh Complications are a risk common to any pelvic floor or other surgery not involving the use of surgical mesh or other graft material.

3.5 BSC shall not, in any Marketing Materials, state or in any way represent that Inherent Mesh Complications can be eliminated with surgical experience or technique alone.

3.6 BSC shall not, in any Marketing Materials, state or in any way represent that BSC Surgical Mesh does not cause a foreign body reaction, including a chronic foreign body reaction.

3.7 BSC shall not, in any Marketing Materials, state or in any way represent that BSC Surgical Mesh remains soft, supple, or pliable, or that it continues to have bi-directional elasticity after the BSC Surgical Mesh is implanted inside the body.

3.8 BSC shall not, in any Marketing Materials, state or in any way represent that BSC Surgical Mesh does not potentiate infection or does not increase the likelihood of infection.

3.9 BSC shall not, in any Marketing Materials, state or in any way represent that BSC Surgical Mesh is superior to traditional repair unless such representations and claims are supported by Valid Scientific Evidence.

3.10 BSC shall not represent that BSC Surgical Mesh is “FDA approved” or that it has undergone the FDA’s pre-market approval process, including the requirement for clinical trials, unless such is the case.

3.11 In any training provided by or on behalf of BSC to any HCPs regarding proper procedures for insertion and implantation of BSC Surgical Mesh, BSC shall ensure that such training informs the HCP about Significant Complications and Inherent Mesh Complications.

3.12 BSC shall not, in any Marketing Materials, misrepresent the complexity of BSC Surgical Mesh implantation procedure or the level of surgical skill and/or experience necessary to perform such a procedure safely.

**C. Disclosures to Health Care Providers**

3.13 To the extent not prohibited by federal law, BSC shall include all Significant Complications and all Inherent Mesh Complications in the DFUs for BSC Surgical Mesh products.

3.14 BSC shall inform purchasers of BSC Surgical Mesh products within the last 24 months of FDA Safety Alerts, Product Advisories, Recalls, and Public Health Notices directly relating and applicable to the safety and efficacy of BSC Surgical Mesh as soon as practicable. If BSC obtains, receives, or is aware of any new risk information that necessitates a more immediate disclosure for public health and safety purposes, BSC shall notify said purchasers of this information through other means, such as notices or letters, as appropriate given the nature of the new information.

3.15 With respect to BSC Surgical Mesh products, BSC shall comply with all FDA regulations regarding: (1) monitoring device usage and prompt revision of the warnings and precautions section of DFUs based on use experience; (2) reporting

adverse events; and (3) collection and dissemination of information pertaining to product safety.

**D. Conflicts of Interest**

3.16 In all contracts for consulting services regarding Surgical Mesh between BSC and any HCP or other consultant, including contracts for speaking engagements or presentations relating to BSC Surgical Mesh, BSC shall include a Support disclosure provision under which the HCP or other consultant agrees that he or she shall, in terms and in a manner so as to be clearly noticed and understood by the audience, disclose in any public presentation or submission for publication relating to the contracted-for activities, BSC's Support of the contracted-for activities (including all information required by any publication's conflict disclosure requirements). Nothing in this provision is intended to change any requirement in a BSC contract that its prior written consent is required before any HCP or other consultant can present or publish in relation to BSC's contracted-for activities.

3.17 In all contracts for BSC-Sponsored studies related to BSC Surgical Mesh, BSC shall require institutions and investigators to properly acknowledge BSC in all publications or presentations resulting from the performance of the Study.

3.18 In all contracts for investigator-initiated studies related to BSC Surgical Mesh in which BSC has provided Support, BSC shall require the investigator (if a party to the agreement) and institution to comply with ethical standards concerning publications and authorship in the Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals established by the

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. BSC shall further require that the institution and investigator, if a party to the agreement, properly acknowledge BSC's Support of the Study in publications.

**E. Clinical Research**

3.19 BSC shall present clinical information regarding BSC Surgical Mesh in a truthful, non-misleading manner and with a balanced presentation of risks in relation to benefits.

3.20 BSC shall not, when citing to any clinical study, clinical data, or preclinical data regarding Surgical Mesh, misrepresent the result or scope of the cited information.

3.21 BSC shall register all BSC-Sponsored studies regarding its BSC Surgical Mesh with ClinicalTrials.gov as required by 42 CFR Part 11.

3.22 BSC shall, when submitting a study or clinical data regarding BSC Surgical Mesh for publication, disclose BSC's role as a Sponsor and any potential conflict of interest with BSC of which BSC is aware for any author consistent with the disclosure requirements for International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE).

3.23 In relation to BSC Surgical Mesh, BSC shall not, in Marketing Materials, use, rely on, or cite to any clinical study, clinical data or preclinical data where it had control or possession of underlying scientific materials, documents, or raw data on or after November 15, 2012 but does not retain the same for the three-year period following the last date such Marketing Materials are distributed by BSC. This

prohibition will not apply if BSC has not retained such underlying scientific materials, documents, or raw data if (1) it was not permitted to retain the underlying scientific materials, documents, or raw data; or (2) the study/data was published in a peer-reviewed journal or has otherwise entered the public domain.

3.24 In relation to BSC Surgical Mesh, BSC shall not, in Marketing Materials, use, rely on, or cite to any clinical study, clinical data, preclinical data, research, or article, (1) for which BSC has not complied with the disclosure requirements in paragraph 3.22, unless BSC provides the disclosure detailed in paragraph 3.22 in the Marketing Material that uses, relies on, or cites such clinical study, clinical data, preclinical data, research, or article, (2) Sponsored by BSC for which the institution or investigator has not complied with the disclosure requirements set forth in paragraph 3.17, unless BSC provides the disclosure detailed in paragraph 3.17 in the Marketing Material that uses, relies on, or cites such clinical study, clinical data, preclinical data, research, or article, or (3) Supported by BSC for which any author/consultant/investigator has not complied with the disclosure requirements set forth in paragraphs 3.16 or 3.18, unless BSC discloses the conflict, consistent with the conflict of interest disclosure requirements of the ICMJE, in the Marketing Material that uses, relies on, or cites such clinical study, clinical data, preclinical data, research, or article.

#### **F. Policies and Training**

3.25 BSC shall maintain policies requiring that its independent contractors, agents, and employees who sell, market, or promote BSC Surgical Mesh or otherwise

communicate with HCPs, nurses, physician's assistants, and other medical professionals, regarding BSC Surgical Mesh, are adequately trained to report patient complaints and/or adverse events to BSC.

3.26 BSC shall ensure that its responses to requests for medical information regarding BSC Surgical Mesh and complications associated with BSC Surgical Mesh are accurate and truthful.

**G. Monitoring and Compliance**

3.27 BSC shall be responsible for monitoring and compliance with the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

**IV. MONETARY RELIEF**

4.1 No Later than 30 days after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, BSC shall pay a total amount of One Hundred Eighty-Eight Million, Six Hundred Fifty-Five Thousand, Sixty-Seven Dollars (\$188,655,067). This amount shall be divided and paid by BSC to each Signatory Attorney General of the Multistate Working Group in an amount to be designated by and in the sole discretion of the Multistate Executive Committee. BSC shall pay the State of Colorado \$2,606,605. The Parties acknowledge that no portion of the payment is a fine or penalty. All payments to the Colorado Department of Law shall be held, along with any interest thereon, in trust by the Attorney General to be used in the Attorney General's sole discretion for reimbursement of the State's actual costs and attorneys' fees, the payment of restitution, if any, and for future consumer fraud or antitrust enforcement, consumer education, or public welfare purposes.

## V. ENFORCEMENT

5.1 For the purposes of resolving disputes with respect to compliance with this Consent Judgment, should any of the Signatory Attorneys General have a reasonable basis to believe that BSC has engaged in a practice that violates a provision of this Consent Judgment subsequent to the Effective Date, then such Attorney General shall notify BSC in writing of the specific objection, identify with particularity the provision of this Consent Judgment that the practice appears to violate, and give BSC thirty (30) days to respond to the notification; provided, however, that a Signatory Attorney General may take any action if the Signatory Attorney General believes that, because of the specific practice, a threat to the health or safety of the public requires immediate action. Upon receipt of written notice, BSC shall provide a good-faith written response to the Signatory Attorney General's notification, containing either a statement explaining why BSC believes it is in compliance with the Consent Judgment, or a detailed explanation of how the alleged violation occurred and a statement explaining how BSC intends to remedy the alleged violation. The Attorney General may agree, in writing, to provide BSC with additional time beyond the thirty (30) days to respond to a notice. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to limit the State of Colorado's Civil Investigative Demand ("CID") or investigative subpoena authority, to the extent such authority exists under applicable law. BSC reserves all of its rights in responding to a CID or investigative subpoena issued pursuant to such authority.



5.2 Upon giving BSC thirty (30) days to respond to the notification described above, the Signatory Attorney General shall also be permitted reasonable access to inspect and copy relevant, non-privileged, non-work product records and documents in the possession, custody, or control of BSC that relate to BSC's compliance with each provision of this Consent Judgment pursuant to that State's CID or investigative subpoena authority. If the Signatory Attorney General makes or requests copies of any documents during the course of that inspection, the Signatory Attorney General will provide a list of those documents to BSC.

5.3 The State may assert any claim that BSC has violated this Consent Judgment in a separate civil action to enforce compliance with this Consent Judgment, or may seek any other relief afforded by law for violations of the Consent Judgment, but only after providing BSC an opportunity to respond to the notification described in Paragraph 5.1 above; provided, however, that a Signatory Attorney General may take any action if the Signatory Attorney General believes that, because of the specific practice, a threat to the health or safety of the public requires immediate action.

## **VI. RELEASE**

6.1 Released Claims. By its execution of this Consent Judgment, the State of Colorado releases and forever discharges BSC and its past and present officers, directors, shareholders, employees, representatives, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, attorneys, assigns and successors (collectively, the "Releasees") from the following: all civil causes of action, claims, damages, restitution, fines, costs, attorney's fees, remedies or penalties that the Colorado Attorney General

has asserted or could have asserted against the Released Parties under the State Consumer Protection Statutes resulting from the Covered Conduct up to and including the Effective Date except as set forth in paragraph 6.2 below.

6.2 Claims Not Covered. Notwithstanding any term of this Consent Judgment, specifically reserved and excluded from the Released Claims in Paragraph 6.1 as to any entity or person, including Releasees, are any and all of the following, to which BSC expressly reserves each and every available defense:

- (a) Any criminal liability that any person or entity, including Releasees, has or may have to the State of Colorado;
- (b) Any civil or administrative liability that any person or entity, including Releasees, has or may have to the State of Colorado not expressly covered by the release in Paragraph 6.1, including, but not limited to, any and all of the following claims:
  - i. State or federal antitrust violations;
  - ii. Claims involving “best price,” “average wholesale price,” “wholesale acquisition cost,” or any reporting practices;
  - iii. Medicaid claims, including, but not limited to, federal Medicaid device rebate statute violations, Medicaid fraud or abuse (whether common law, statutory or otherwise), and/or kickback violations related to any state’s Medicaid program;
  - iv. State false claims violations; and

v. Claims to enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

(c) Actions of, or on behalf of, state program payors of the State of Colorado arising from the purchase of BSC Surgical Mesh.

(d) Any claims individual consumers have or may have under any of the above-cited State Consumer Protection Laws against any person or entity, including the Releasees.

6.3 Nothing contained in this Consent Judgment shall relieve BSC of the obligations it maintains under any other Consent Judgment or agreement relating to any of BSC's products.

## VII. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

7.1 If, subsequent to the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, the federal government, the FDA, or any state, or any state agency, enacts legislation, regulation, policy or guidance with respect to matters governed by this Consent Judgment that creates a conflict with any provision of the Consent Judgment, and such conflict makes it impossible for BSC to comply with both the newly enacted legislation, regulation, policy, or guidance and the provision of the Consent Judgment that BSC claims is the subject of the conflict, BSC shall provide the Attorney General with notice of the impossible conflict, which shall include an explanation as to how the newly enacted legislation, regulations, policies or guidance creates a conflict and makes it impossible for BSC to comply with the subject provision of the Consent Judgment. The Attorney General shall have thirty (30) days from receipt of the notice to either notify BSC that

it agrees to a modification of the Consent Judgment to address the conflict BSC raised, or notify BSC that the Attorney General does not agree that a conflict exists that makes it impossible for BSC to comply with both the legislation, regulation, policy, or guidance and the subject provision of the Consent Judgment, in which case BSC, subject to an Order to the contrary from this Court, must comply with both the newly enacted legislation, regulations, policies or guidance and the provision of the Consent Judgment.

7.2 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to authorize or require any action by BSC in violation of applicable federal, state, or other laws.

7.3 Modification: The Consent Judgment may be modified by a stipulation of the Parties, once it is approved by and becomes a judgment of the Court, or by court proceedings resulting in a modified Judgment of the Court.

7.4 BSC shall not cause or encourage third parties, nor knowingly permit third parties acting on its behalf, to engage in practices from which BSC is prohibited by this Consent Judgment.

7.5 The Attorney General may, at his sole discretion, agree in writing to provide BSC with additional time to perform any act required by this Consent Judgment.

7.6 The acceptance of this Consent Judgment by the State of Colorado shall not be deemed approval by Colorado of any of BSC's advertising or business practices. Further, neither BSC nor anyone acting on its behalf shall state or imply, or cause to be stated or implied, that Colorado or any other governmental unit of Colorado has

approved, sanctioned or authorized any practice, act, advertisement, or conduct of BSC.

7.7 Any failure by any party to this Consent Judgment to insist upon the strict performance by any other party of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall not be deemed a waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment, and such party, notwithstanding such failure, shall have the right thereafter to insist upon the specific performance of any and all of the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

7.8 Entire Agreement: This Consent Judgment represents the full and complete terms of the settlement entered into by the Parties. In any action undertaken by the Parties, no prior version of this Consent Judgment and no prior version of any of its terms that were not entered by the Court in this Consent Judgment, may be introduced for any purpose whatsoever.

7.9 Jurisdiction: This Court retains jurisdiction of this Consent Judgment and the Parties for the purpose of enforcing and modifying this Consent Judgment and granting such additional relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

7.10 Notice: All Notices under this Consent Judgment shall be provided to the following via email and Overnight Mail:

BSC:

Eileen M. Hunter  
Vice President  
Head of Global Litigation  
Head of Legal Operations  
4100 Hamline Ave N,

St. Paul, MN 55112  
[eileen.hunter@bsci.com](mailto:eileen.hunter@bsci.com)

CC: Boston Scientific Corporation's attorneys:

Joseph Rebein  
Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P.  
2555 Grand Blvd.  
Kansas City, MO 64018  
[jrebein@shb.com](mailto:jrebein@shb.com)

Amy R. Fiterman  
Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP  
2200 Wells Fargo Center  
90 S. Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
[amy.fiterman@faegredrinker.com](mailto:amy.fiterman@faegredrinker.com)

State of Colorado:

Olivia D. Webster  
Senior Assistant Attorney General II  
Colorado Department of Law  
Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center  
1300 Broadway, Floor  
Denver, CO 80203  
E-Mail: [Libby.Webster@coag.gov](mailto:Libby.Webster@coag.gov)

7.11 To the extent that any provision of this Consent Judgment obligates BSC to change any policy(ies) or procedure(s) and to the extent not already accomplished, BSC shall implement the policy(ies) or procedure(s) as soon as reasonably practicable, but no later than 120 days after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.

BSC will revise its current BSC Surgical Mesh DFUs (the "Current DFUs") to comply with the terms of this Consent Judgment, including by, among other things, listing all Significant Complications and Inherent Mesh Complications and ensuring the revised DFU (the "Updated DFU") conforms with the provisions of Section III.B,

as soon as reasonably practicable, but no later than 18 months from the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.

Once there is an Updated DFU available for a product, BSC shall cease packaging that product with the Current DFU.

BSC will make reasonable efforts to deliver Updated DFUs to all HCPs known to implant BSC Surgical Mesh as soon as reasonably practicable after Updated DFUs are available, but no later than 18 months after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.

This Consent Judgment does not require BSC to collect or remove pre-existing materials from the public domain. However, BSC shall remove materials available that are inconsistent with this Consent Judgment over which it has control such as materials posted on websites controlled by BSC.

**APPROVAL BY COURT**

APPROVED FOR FILING and SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

\_\_\_\_\_  
District Court Judge

For State of Colorado

By: s/ *Olivia D. Webster*

Olivia D. Webster  
Senior Assistant Attorney General II  
Colorado Department of Law

March 23, 2021

Date

For Defendant Boston Scientific Corporation

By: s/ Eileen M. Hunter

Eileen M. Hunter  
Vice President  
Head of Global Litigation  
Head of Legal Operations

March 23, 2021

Date